Ethical Reasoning Rubric

All educators | Assessment

Stakeholders and impact

4: Identifies affected groups, unequal impact, and duties

3: Identifies major stakeholders

2: Omits important perspectives

1: Focuses on self or one party only

Notes: _____

Facts and uncertainty

4: Separates evidence, inference, and missing information

3: Notes most uncertainty

2: Mixes fact and assumption

1: Makes unsupported claims

Notes: _____

Authority and obligations

4: Integrates permission, policy, law, ethics, and professional duty

3: Uses relevant rules

2: Names rules without application

1: Ignores boundaries

Notes: _____

Alternatives and safeguards

4: Compares feasible options and mitigations

3: Offers more than one option

2: Alternatives are weak

1: Presents no real alternative

Notes: _____

Justification

4: Clear decision, reasoning, limitations, and review conditions

3: Defensible reasoning

2: Partial or inconsistent reasoning

1: Opinion without support

Notes: _____

Source and use

The Complete Cybersecurity Educator, Dr. Jason Edwards. Editable companion - Ethical Reasoning Rubric.

Sharing and reuse: Please do not resell this resource. You may repost or share it with other educators. Please leave the Bare Metal Cyber Educators Hub URL below intact. Use fictional or appropriately authorized data where applicable.

Read online: https://educate.baremetalcyber.com/resources/ethical-reasoning-rubric/